

## Research Article

On commutativity of alternative rings with  $[xy^n x \pm yx^n y, x] = 0$ Abubakar Salisu<sup>1,\*</sup> , Shu'aibu Salisu<sup>3</sup> , Mustapha Mannir Gafai<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Transport, Planning and Management, Federal polytechnic Daura Katsina State. Nigeria.<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics Umaru Musa Yar'adua University Katsina. Nigeria.<sup>3</sup> Department of computer science federal polytechnic Daura Katsina state. Nigeria.

## ARTICLEINFO

## Article History

Received 02 Feb 2024

Revised 25 Feb 2024

Accepted 03 May 2024

Published 21 May 2024

## Keywords

Alternative ring

anti-commutator

n!-torsion free

commutator

prime rings

prime rings

## ABSTRACT

Let  $R$  be a  $n$ -torsion free with identity 1, In this article we investigate and prove the commutativity of alternative ring of the property  $(p_1)$ ,  $(p_2)$  and  $(p_3)$  under suitable constraints.

$$(p_1) \quad [xy^n x \pm yx^n y, x] = 0$$

$$(p_2) \quad [xy^n x \pm yx^n y, y] = 0$$

$$(p_3) \quad [x(xy)^2 + (xy)^2 x, x] = 0. \quad \forall x, y \in R.$$



## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper  $R$  represent an alternative ring with center  $Z(R) = \{x \in R \mid xy = yx\}$ , the commutator  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  and anti-commutator  $xy \circ xy = xy + yx$  for any pair element  $x, y \in R$ , for any positive integer  $n$ , an element  $x \in R$  is said to be  $n!$ -torsion free if and only if  $nx = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ . The associator  $(x, y, z)$  is define by  $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ , this plays a key role in the study of non-associative rings. It can be viewed as a measure of the non-associativity of a ring. In terms of associator, a ring is called left alternative if  $(x, x, y) = 0$  right alternative if  $(y, x, x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and alternative if both condition hold. i.e.  $(x, y, y) = 0$  and  $(y, y, x) = 0$ .

In [2] established that a division ring  $R$  is commutative if and only if  $[xy, yx] = 0$ . Also generalize Gupta's result which assert that a semi prime ring  $R$  in which  $[xy, yx] = xy^2 x - yx^2 y \in Z(R)$  or  $xy \circ xy = xy^2 x + yx^2 y \in Z(R)$  is necessary commutative, also [1] proved the commutativity of associative ring satisfies the identity  $(xy)^2 = xy^2 x$ . also in their paper proved the properties:  $xy \circ xy = xy^2 x + yx^2 y \in Z(R)$  and  $xy^n x \pm yx^n y \in Z(R)$ , most be commutative In addition, [3] have established the commutativity of non-associative ring satisfying the identities  $(xy)^2 = x^2 y^2$  and  $(xy)^2 \in Z(R) \forall x, y \in R$ .

Further, [4] established the commutativity of non-associative primitive rings satisfying the identities:  $x(x^2 + y^2) + (x^2 + y^2)x \in Z(R)$  and  $x(xy)^2 - (xy)^2 x \in Z(R)$ . Recently [5] show that some results on commutativity of some 2-torsion free non associative rings with unity satisfy:

$(\alpha\beta)^2 - \alpha\beta \in Z(R)$  for all  $\alpha\beta$  in  $R$  Motivated by this observation it is natural to look commutativity of alternative rings satisfies

Motivated from them we establish the commutativity of ring with condition  $(p_1), (p_2)$  and commutativity of alternative rings with  $(p_3)$  with suitable constraint.

**Main Result.**

The following are the main Results.

**Theorem 2.1.**

Suppose that a  $n$ -torsion free an alternative ring  $R$  with identity 1 and there exist a positive integer  $n$  such that:  $[xy^n x \pm yx^n y, x] = 0$  or  $[xy^n x \pm yx^n y, y] = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in R$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.

**Proof.**

By hypothesis, we have

$$[xy^n x \pm yx^n y, y] = 0. \text{ In this property we consider } [xy^n x + yx^n y, y] = 0$$

$$[yxy^n x - xy^n xy + y^2 x^n y - yx^n y^2] = 0$$

$$[y, (xy^n x)] + [y(x^n y - yx^n)y] \iff [y, xy^n x] + y[x^n, y]y = 0$$

Replace  $y = y + 1$  in 2.1 above and applied  $n$ -torsion free we obtained

$$[x^n C_1 y_1 x, y] + [x^n C_2 y_2 x, y] + \dots + [x^n C_n y_n x, y]$$

$$x [{}^n C_1 y_1 + {}^n C_2 y_2 + \dots + {}^n C_n y_n] x = [x^n, y^2] + yx^n = 0$$

We used binomial expansion and by inserting  $y = y + 1$  for  $n$ -times and using the previous we obtained identity in every stage in above we had

$$x [({}^{n-1} C_{n-1} {}^{n-1} C_{n-2} + \dots + {}^n C_n) x, y].$$

This gives,

$n! [x^2, y] = 0$  in view of  $n$ -torsion free condition, we get on the commutator  $[x^2, y] = 0$  for  $n > 2$  then  $R$  is commutative.

**Remark 2.2:** The following Corollary is an immediate consequence of our main result if we set  $n = 2$ .

**Corollary 2.3**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free an alternative ring with identity 1. If  $R$  has a property:

$$[xy^2 x \pm yx^2 y, x] = 0 \text{ or } [xy^2 x \pm yx^2 y, y] = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in R. \text{ then } R \text{ is commutative ring.}$$

**Proof**

Since  $[xy \circ xy, y]$ , now we consider  $[xy^2 x - yx^2 y, y] = 0$ .

$$[xy^2 x - yx^2 y, y] = 0$$

$$[yxy^2 x - xy^2 xy + yx^2 y^2 - y^2 x^2 y] = 0$$

$$[y, xy^2 x] + y[x^2, y]y = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

Replace  $y = y + 1$  in 2.3 above and applied 2-torsion free we obtained

$$y^2 x^2 - x^2 y^2 = 0 \iff [y^2, x^2] = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

Replace  $y = y + 1$  in 2.4 above we had

$$yx^2 - x^2 y = 0 \iff [y, x^2] = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

Replace  $y = y + 1$  in 2.5 above and applied 2-torsion free we obtained

$$yx - xy = 0 \iff [y, x] = 0 \text{ or } yx = xy \text{ implies } R \text{ is commutative.}$$

**Theorem 3.1**

Let  $R$  be a 2,3-torsion free alternative ring with unity satisfy  $[x(xy)^2 + (xy)^2 x, x] = 0$ , Then  $R$  is commutative.

**Proof**

From the hypothesis above in 3.1

$$x[x(xy)^2 + (xy)^2 x] = [x(xy)^2 + (xy)^2 x]x, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R. \tag{3.2}$$

Substitute  $x = (1 + x)$  in (3.2), apply 2,3 torsions free and use (1) we get

$$y^2 x = xy^2, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R. \tag{3.3}$$

Substitute  $y = (y + 1)$  in (3.3) and Apply 2-torsion

This implies  $xy = yx$  and  $R$  is commutative.

Since  $R$  is a commutative ring and satisfies the identities either  $(xx)y = x(xy)$  or

$y(xx) = (yx)x$ , so that  $R$  is an alternative ring. Hence an alternative ring  $R$  with identity together with commutativity yields  $(x, x, y) = 0 = (y, x, x)$ , which completes the proof.

**Funding**

The acknowledgments section of the paper does not mention any financial support from institutions or sponsors.

**Conflicts of interest**

The author's paper declares that there are no relationships or affiliations that could create conflicts of interest.

**Acknowledgment**

The author acknowledges the institution for the intellectual resources and academic guidance that significantly enriched this research.

## References

- [1] H. A. S. Abu Jabal and M. A. Khan, "Some Elementary Commutativity Theorem for Associative Rings," *Kyungpook Math. J.*, vol. 1, pp. 49-51, 1993.
- [2] R. N. Gupta, "Nilpotent matrices with invertible transpose," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 24, pp. 572-575, 1970.
- [3] Y. Madana Mohana Reddy, G. Shobhatha, and D. V. Ramin Reddy, "Some Commutativity Theorem for Non-Associative Rings," *Math Archive*, vol. 5, pp. 379-382, 2017.
- [4] Y. Madana Mohana Reddy and S. Latha, "On Commutativity for Certain Non-Associative Primitive Rings with  $[x((xy)^2 - (xy^2)x) \in Z(R)]$ ," *Math Archive*, vol. 7, pp. 292-294, 2020.
- [5] Y. Madana Mohana Reddy, "Some Results on Commutativity of Some 2-Torsion Free Non-Associative Rings with Unity Satisfying:  $(\alpha\beta)^2 - \alpha\beta \in Z(R)$  for all  $\alpha\beta$  in  $R$ ," *Math Archive*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 416-418, 2023.